



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,345	03/24/2005	Ubaldo Conte	28069-603 NATL	3809
35437	7590	09/13/2005		
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO 666 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAMINER HAWES, PILI ASABI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/507,345

Applicant(s)

CONTE ET AL.

Examiner

Pili A. Hawes

Art Unit

1615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02-07-2005.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement(s) filed 02-07-2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-17 are pending in this action. Claims 1-17 are rejected.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9-27 of copending Application No. 10/507344. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because application '345 recites a dosage form with one or more active ingredients in the form of a tablet, characterized by comprising a nucleus containing active ingredients. The claim as worded reads on layers 1 and 3 of the co-pending application '344. The comprising language of claim 1 in application '345 does not exclude the presence of more than one layer comprising an active ingredient, or a third layer composed of a semipermeable membrane.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edgren et al. US 4503030.

Edgren discloses an oral osmotic device composed of a semipermeable material surrounding a compartment containing an active agent (col. 10, lines 55-68, and col. 11, lines 1-5). Example 1 discloses the composition comprises 2.9% hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (col. 9, line 43) and 25% cellulose acetate (col. 9, line 51). The composition also comprises effervescent and disintegrating agents, diluents, and wetting agents (col. 9, lines 1-5). The composition further comprises other hydrophobic diluents, binders, glidants, lubricants, etc. (col. 7, lines 35-45). The composition also contains insoluble coating film made of polyacidic polymers (col. 6, lines 60-68). The dosage form also contains an expression passageway that includes an aperture, orifice, bore, hole, or the like through the wall (col. 8, lines 25-30). Example 1 further discloses the process of drilling the passageway using a laser (col. 9, lines 61-62).

Claims 1, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Theeuwes et al. US 4088864.

Theeuwes discloses a method of drilling a passageway into tablets (abstract). Figure 1 discloses a tablet dosage form comprised of an active agent containing core that is surrounded by a semipermeable membrane (col. 40-65). The laser for the invention operated at a power range from 15-30 watts in the case of a CO₂ laser (col. 4, lines 35-40).

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faour US 6599284 B2.

Faour discloses a controlled release osmotic device comprised of an outer layer or external coating (optionally) containing active ingredient (2), an intermediate layer forming a semipermeable membrane (3), and an inner layer or core containing active ingredient (4) (Figure 4). The dosage form also comprises a passageway (5) formed by laser incision (col. 13, lines 48-55), (Figure 4). The reference also teaches the addition of osmopolymers (col. 16, lines 1-45), and disintegrating agents (col. 18, lines 25-38). The reference further discloses that the outer layer or external coating layer may contain the same or different active ingredients as the inner layer (col. 13, lines 5-7). Example 1 discloses the composition of the inner core, which comprises more than 49% by wt polymeric material (col. 24, lines 15-25). Example 1 also discloses the use 5% by wt of polyethylene glycol (col. 24, lines 25-30). Faour incorporated by reference Theeuwes et al. US 4088864, which discloses the laser source as CO₂ and the output of 20W. Therefore the process claims are also anticipated by this reference.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 4160020 (Ayer et al.)


US 6004582 (Faour et al.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pili A. Hawes whose telephone number is 571-272-8512. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P.A. Hawes
Examiner-1615


THURMAN K. PAGE
CENTRAL PATENT EXAMINER
CENTER 1600